

## SUMMARY OF THE LETTER

I decided to give a brief summary of the letter. It begins with a greeting, and asking for help answering 20 some questions about the Dunham TIF. Interestingly enough, some of the questions almost sound more suited for the Sanford TIF project.

## THE QUESTIONS

(I consolidated many of the questions and compressed them)

- Are any city officials (elected, appointed or hired) benefitting from the TIF?
- Is the city receiving a sales commission for selling the land? Do they own the land? Who owns the land?
- If the land is blighted, why aren't the same code enforcement rules applied to the landowners that are applied to poor property owners?
- What is the policy on developers paying for sewer and street installation in new developments, and passing that cost on to consumers in the purchase price of the homes? Is this a part of the TIF?
- What is so special (or should we say, not so special) about the location of the development?
- Is the city essentially pushing certain classes of people to locate and purchase homes in this area by creating this TIF?
- Is the \$150,000 price tag to high?
- How do the costs associated with the construction match up with purchase prices?
- How do these TIF's and lack of property tax revenue affect our school district?
- Is bankruptcy on the horizon if we continue handing out these TIF's?
- Are there any ethical rules concerning campaign contributions to politicians awarding these TIF's?
- What is the value of the land?

There was also a question about the cost of the DT parking ramp demolition. Did the developer 'over quote' the price in case the city had to reimburse them?

The letter ended with a strange copyright tagline. Still baffled by how an anonymous letter writer can copyright something. If there is any lawyers in the house, please explain.